

catena-Poly[copper(I)-bis[μ -3-(1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)pyridine]-copper(I)-di- μ -iodido]

Qing-Guang Zhan

School of Chemistry and Environment, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China, and, South China Normal University, Key Laboratory of Technology in Electrochemical Energy Storage and Power Generation in Guangdong Universities, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: zhanqg2001@yahoo.com.cn


Received 10 August 2011; accepted 18 August 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.008$ Å; R factor = 0.031; wR factor = 0.080; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.3.

The title polymeric compound, $[\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_2(\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3)_2]_n$ [$\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3 = 3$ -(1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)pyridine (HIPy), where HIPy comes from the *in situ* decarboxylation of 2-(pyridin-3-yl)-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (H_3PyIDC)], was obtained under solvothermal conditions. Each Cu^{I} cation is in a distorted tetrahedral coordination environment defined by two iodide anions and two nitrogen atoms from two individual HIPy ligands. Two Cu^{I} atoms are connected by two HIPy ligands to form a dimer and these dimers are further bridged through the iodide atoms, leading to a chain structure extending parallel to [100]. Moreover, intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{I}$ hydrogen bonds and weak $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions [centroid \cdots centroid distances of 3.809 (4) Å, an interplanar separation of 3.345 (3) Å and a ring slippage of 1.822 Å] between pyridyl rings link the chains into a two-dimensional supramolecular network in the *ac* plane.

Related literature

For general background on the decarboxylation of *N*-heterocyclic carboxylic acid ligands, see: Chen & Tong (2007); Sun *et al.* (2006); Yigit *et al.* (2006); Zhong *et al.* (2010).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_2(\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3)_2]$
 $M_r = 671.22$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
 $a = 8.141$ (3) Å
 $b = 8.306$ (3) Å
 $c = 8.816$ (5) Å
 $\alpha = 114.683$ (6)°
 $\beta = 101.989$ (5)°

$\gamma = 108.258$ (4)°
 $V = 473.1$ (4) Å³
 $Z = 1$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 5.52$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 298$ K
 $0.35 \times 0.32 \times 0.30$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD
area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*APEX2*; Bruker, 2004)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.248$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.288$

2452 measured reflections
1682 independent reflections
1506 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.018$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.080$
 $S = 1.06$
1682 reflections

118 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.86$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.69$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N}2-\text{H}2\cdots\text{I}1^i$	0.86	2.83	3.588 (5)	148

 Symmetry code: (i) $x + 1, y + 1, z + 1$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *APEX2*; data reduction: *APEX2*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The author acknowledges the Young Teacher Training plan of Guangdong Universities (grant No. LYM09053, 2010, 01-2011, 12) for supporting this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZL2401).

References

- Bruker (2004). *APEX2*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). *ORTEPIII*. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Chen, X.-M. & Tong, M.-L. (2007). *Acc. Chem. Res.* **40**, 162–170.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
Sun, Y.-Q., Zhang, J. & Yang, G.-Y. (2006). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1947–1949.
Yigit, M.-V., Wang, Y., Moulton, B. & MacDonald, J.-C. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **6**, 829–832.
Zhong, D.-C., Lu, W.-G., Jiang, L., Feng, X.-L. & Lu, T.-B. (2010). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **10**, 739–746.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1288 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811033605]

***catena*-Poly[copper(I)-bis[μ -3-(1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)pyridine]-copper(I)-di- μ -iodido]**

Q.-G. Zhan

Comment

In recent years, *in situ* metal/ligand reactions have been widely investigated for the discovery of new organic reactions, elucidation of reaction mechanisms, as well as generation of novel coordination polymers (Chen *et al.*, 2007). Among them, hydrothermal decarboxylation of *N*-heterocyclic carboxylic acid ligands has been shown to occur in the presence of metal ions (Sun *et al.*, 2006; Yigit *et al.*, 2006; Zhong *et al.*, 2010). For example, Sun *et al.* (2006) have synthesized two lanthanide sulfate–carboxylates, [Ln(HIMC)(SO₄)(H₂O)] (Ln = Dy and Eu, HIMC = 4-imidazolecarboxylic acid), by using *in situ* decarboxylation of 4,5-imidazoledicarboxylic acid in the presence of Cu(II) ions. However, as far as we know, no decarboxylation based on 2-(pyridin-3-yl)-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (H₃PyIDC) under solvothermal reaction conditions has been documented. In this work, we report the synthesis and structure of the polymeric title complex, using H₃PyIDC as one of the starting materials. Under the solvothermal reaction conditions and in the presence of CuI decarboxylation occurs and H₃PyIDC is transformed into HIPy which is incorporated into the polymeric title complex.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains one Cu⁺ cation, one I⁻ anion and one HIPy neutral ligand. As shown in Fig. 1, the Cu^I cation exhibits a distorted tetrahedral coordination, made up of two iodide anions and two nitrogen atoms from two individual HIPy ligands. The Cu⋯I bond lengths are 2.7331 (12) and 2.7887 (14) Å.

In the crystal structure, two Cu^I atoms are connected through two HIPy ligands via their N_{imidazole} and N_{pyridyl} atoms to form a dimer with a Cu⋯Cu separation of 5.3621 (21) Å; these dimers are further bridged through the μ_2 -I atoms, leading to a 1D chain structure extending parallel to [100] (Fig. 2). Intermolecular N2–H2⋯Iⁱⁱⁱ hydrogen bonds between the imidazole N–H groups and the I atoms (Table 1) [symmetry code: (iii) $x+1, y+1, z+1$] link the chains into a 2D supramolecular network in the *ac* plane (Fig. 3). The crystal structure is further stabilized by weak slipped π - π stacking interactions between neighbouring pyridyl rings (N3/C4–C8 and N3^v/C4^v–C8^v, symmetry code: (v) $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$), with centroid⋯centroid distances of 3.809 (4) Å, an interplanar separation of 3.345 (3) Å and a ring slippage of 1.822 Å (Fig. 3).

Experimental

A mixture of H₃PyIDC (46.6 mg, 0.2 mmol), CuI (38.1 mg, 0.2 mmol), 8 mL EtOH/H₂O (1:1, v/v), and 0.1 mL Et₃N was sealed in a 15 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, heated at 443 K for 48 h, and then slowly cooled to room temperature at a rate of 273 K/h. Yellow block-shaped crystals of the title compound were isolated, washed with distilled water, and dried in air (yield: 25%). Anal. Calcd. for C₈H₇CuIN₃: C, 28.63, H, 2.10, N, 12.52. Found: C, 28.73, H, 2.05, N, 12.48%.

Refinement

All non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic displacement parameters in the refinement. All hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.93 Å and N—H = 0.86 Å and with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Symmetry codes: (i) $-x-1, -y+1, -z$; (ii) $-x, -y+1, -z$.



Fig. 2. The crystal packing of the title compound, showing the one-dimensional chain structure extending parallel to [100].




Fig. 3. A view showing part of the two-dimensional supramolecular network linked by N—H \cdots I hydrogen bonds and weak π - π stacking interactions. Hydrogen bonds and π - π stacking interactions are shown as dashed lines. Symmetry code: (v) $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$.

catena-Poly[copper(I)-bis[μ -3-(1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)pyridine]- copper(I)-di- μ -iodido]

Crystal data

[Cu₂I₂(C₈H₇N₃)₂]

$M_r = 671.22$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 1$

$a = 8.141\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 8.306\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 8.816\ (5)\ \text{\AA}$

$\alpha = 114.683\ (6)^\circ$

$\beta = 101.989\ (5)^\circ$

$\gamma = 108.258\ (4)^\circ$

$V = 473.1\ (4)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 1$

$F(000) = 316$

$D_x = 2.356\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 1252 reflections

$\theta = 2.8\text{--}26.8^\circ$

$\mu = 5.52\ \text{mm}^{-1}$

$T = 298\ \text{K}$

Block, yellow

$0.35 \times 0.32 \times 0.30\ \text{mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite

1682 independent reflections

1506 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.018$

φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\max} = 25.2^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 2.8^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (APEX2; Bruker, 2004)	$h = -8 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.248$, $T_{\max} = 0.288$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 5$
2452 measured reflections	$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.080$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.06$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0418P)^2 + 0.3135P]$
1682 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
118 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.86 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.69 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
I1	-0.63541 (5)	0.55664 (5)	-0.19170 (4)	0.03979 (15)
Cu1	-0.29230 (10)	0.58503 (11)	-0.03571 (10)	0.0494 (2)
N1	-0.1172 (6)	0.8746 (7)	0.1128 (6)	0.0359 (10)
N2	0.0984 (6)	1.1683 (7)	0.3412 (6)	0.0410 (10)
H2	0.1990	1.2584	0.4362	0.049*
C5	0.2418 (7)	0.9476 (8)	0.4986 (7)	0.0387 (12)
H5	0.2385	1.0553	0.5891	0.046*
C6	0.3354 (7)	0.8513 (9)	0.5428 (7)	0.0398 (12)
H6	0.3934	0.8906	0.6634	0.048*
C7	0.3418 (7)	0.6968 (8)	0.4067 (7)	0.0379 (12)
H7	0.4039	0.6317	0.4384	0.045*
C3	0.0440 (7)	0.9714 (7)	0.2574 (7)	0.0329 (11)
C1	-0.1648 (7)	1.0191 (8)	0.1087 (7)	0.0371 (12)

supplementary materials

H1	-0.2713	0.9951	0.0220	0.044*
C2	-0.0336 (8)	1.2007 (8)	0.2495 (7)	0.0414 (13)
H2A	-0.0330	1.3221	0.2781	0.050*
C4	0.1521 (7)	0.8819 (7)	0.3165 (7)	0.0324 (11)
C8	0.1698 (7)	0.7266 (7)	0.1884 (7)	0.0343 (11)
H8	0.1135	0.6846	0.0668	0.041*
N3	0.2635 (6)	0.6336 (6)	0.2299 (6)	0.0364 (10)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
I1	0.0394 (2)	0.0415 (2)	0.0382 (2)	0.02226 (17)	0.01033 (16)	0.01990 (18)
Cu1	0.0506 (4)	0.0349 (4)	0.0442 (4)	0.0235 (3)	0.0051 (3)	0.0086 (3)
N1	0.035 (2)	0.038 (3)	0.037 (2)	0.020 (2)	0.0167 (19)	0.018 (2)
N2	0.039 (2)	0.034 (3)	0.042 (3)	0.015 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.016 (2)
C5	0.036 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.038 (3)	0.016 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.016 (3)
C6	0.039 (3)	0.051 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.014 (2)	0.020 (3)
C7	0.034 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.026 (3)
C3	0.033 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.035 (3)	0.016 (2)	0.015 (2)	0.014 (2)
C1	0.039 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.023 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.022 (3)
C2	0.053 (3)	0.036 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.025 (3)
C4	0.030 (2)	0.027 (3)	0.037 (3)	0.013 (2)	0.015 (2)	0.013 (2)
C8	0.033 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.033 (3)	0.018 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.011 (2)
N3	0.033 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.017 (2)	0.0118 (19)	0.016 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

I1—Cu1	2.7331 (12)	C6—C7	1.368 (8)
I1—Cu1 ⁱ	2.7887 (14)	C6—H6	0.9300
Cu1—N1	1.994 (4)	C7—N3	1.345 (7)
Cu1—N3 ⁱⁱ	2.030 (4)	C7—H7	0.9300
Cu1—I1 ⁱ	2.7887 (14)	C3—C4	1.464 (7)
N1—C3	1.335 (6)	C1—C2	1.353 (7)
N1—C1	1.384 (7)	C1—H1	0.9300
N2—C3	1.348 (7)	C2—H2A	0.9300
N2—C2	1.372 (7)	C4—C8	1.388 (7)
N2—H2	0.8600	C8—N3	1.342 (6)
C5—C6	1.376 (8)	C8—H8	0.9300
C5—C4	1.392 (7)	N3—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	2.030 (4)
C5—H5	0.9300		
Cu1—I1—Cu1 ⁱ	79.47 (3)	N3—C7—H7	118.1
N1—Cu1—N3 ⁱⁱ	127.88 (17)	C6—C7—H7	118.1
N1—Cu1—I1	105.56 (12)	N1—C3—N2	110.0 (4)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—I1	106.58 (12)	N1—C3—C4	126.1 (5)
N1—Cu1—I1 ⁱ	109.74 (13)	N2—C3—C4	123.8 (4)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—I1 ⁱ	103.36 (13)	C2—C1—N1	110.0 (5)
I1—Cu1—I1 ⁱ	100.53 (3)	C2—C1—H1	125.0

C3—N1—C1	105.7 (4)	N1—C1—H1	125.0
C3—N1—Cu1	128.9 (4)	C1—C2—N2	105.7 (5)
C1—N1—Cu1	123.9 (3)	C1—C2—H2A	127.2
C3—N2—C2	108.5 (4)	N2—C2—H2A	127.2
C3—N2—H2	125.7	C8—C4—C5	117.6 (5)
C2—N2—H2	125.7	C8—C4—C3	119.7 (5)
C6—C5—C4	119.1 (5)	C5—C4—C3	122.6 (5)
C6—C5—H5	120.4	N3—C8—C4	123.8 (5)
C4—C5—H5	120.4	N3—C8—H8	118.1
C7—C6—C5	119.0 (5)	C4—C8—H8	118.1
C7—C6—H6	120.5	C8—N3—C7	116.6 (4)
C5—C6—H6	120.5	C8—N3—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	121.6 (3)
N3—C7—C6	123.8 (5)	C7—N3—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	121.8 (4)
Cu1 ⁱ —I1—Cu1—N1	-114.10 (13)	C3—N1—C1—C2	-0.1 (6)
Cu1 ⁱ —I1—Cu1—N3 ⁱⁱ	107.49 (14)	Cu1—N1—C1—C2	167.1 (3)
Cu1 ⁱ —I1—Cu1—I1 ⁱ	0.0	N1—C1—C2—N2	0.6 (6)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—N1—C3	-78.1 (5)	C3—N2—C2—C1	-1.0 (6)
I1—Cu1—N1—C3	155.6 (4)	C6—C5—C4—C8	-3.0 (7)
I1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C3	48.1 (4)	C6—C5—C4—C3	177.8 (5)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—N1—C1	117.9 (4)	N1—C3—C4—C8	39.7 (7)
I1—Cu1—N1—C1	-8.4 (4)	N2—C3—C4—C8	-138.2 (5)
I1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C1	-115.9 (4)	N1—C3—C4—C5	-141.1 (5)
C4—C5—C6—C7	1.7 (8)	N2—C3—C4—C5	41.0 (7)
C5—C6—C7—N3	0.9 (8)	C5—C4—C8—N3	1.9 (7)
C1—N1—C3—N2	-0.6 (5)	C3—C4—C8—N3	-178.9 (4)
Cu1—N1—C3—N2	-166.8 (3)	C4—C8—N3—C7	0.6 (7)
C1—N1—C3—C4	-178.7 (5)	C4—C8—N3—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	-177.5 (4)
Cu1—N1—C3—C4	15.0 (7)	C6—C7—N3—C8	-2.1 (7)
C2—N2—C3—N1	1.0 (6)	C6—C7—N3—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	176.0 (4)
C2—N2—C3—C4	179.2 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x-1, -y+1, -z$; (ii) $-x, -y+1, -z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N2—H2 \cdots I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.83	3.588 (5)	148.

Symmetry codes: (iii) $x+1, y+1, z+1$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

